Application No. Applicant(s) 10/678,782 ROESLER ET AL. Interview Summary

	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc Truong	1711	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Duc Truong</u> .	(3) Richard Reeslar		
(2) Pebra Anderson	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 March 2005.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊠ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed: and of Mand.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Milicart's arguments are based on the clarific transcription of the general reached. Also, where no comments allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no comments allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant.	medicion to be found to hedicion to found to found to found to found to found to found the ments which the amendments that will also of the action has already the MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	reed would render the substance of been filed, APPIS INTERVIEW S	A Madel Le Camel for er the claims claims DF THE LICANT IS UMMARY
		/	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required